

High Angular Resolution *JHK* Imaging of the Centers of the Metal-Poor Globular Clusters NGC5272 (M3), NGC6205 (M13), NGC6287, and NGC6341 (M92)

T. J. Davidge ¹ & S. Courteau ¹

Herzberg Institute of Astrophysics,
National Research Council of Canada,

5071 W. Saanich Road, Victoria, BC Canada V8X 4M6

email: tim.davidge@hia.nrc.ca, stephane.courteau@hia.nrc.ca

ABSTRACT

The Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) Adaptive Optics Bonnette (AOB) has been used to obtain high angular resolution *JHK* images of the centers of the metal-poor globular clusters NGC5272 (M3), NGC6205 (M13), NGC6287, and NGC6341 (M92). The color-magnitude diagrams (CMDs) derived from these data include the upper main sequence and most of the red giant branch (RGB), and the cluster sequences agree with published photometric measurements of bright stars in these clusters. The photometric accuracy is limited by PSF variations, which introduce systematic errors of a few hundredths of a magnitude near the reference star. The NGC6287 CMDs are of particular interest, as they are free of the scatter caused by foreground stars and differential reddening that have plagued previous efforts to study the stellar content of this inner spheroid cluster. The horizontal branch (HB) of NGC6287 contains stars spanning a broader range of temperatures than that of NGC6341. NGC6287 is thus the only known member of the metal-poor inner spheroid to deviate from the HB morphology versus metallicity relation defined by other clusters in the inner Galaxy.

The clusters are paired according to metallicity, and the near-infrared CMDs and luminosity functions are used to investigate the relative ages within each pair. The near-infrared CMDs provide the tightest constraints on the relative ages of the classical second parameter pair NGC5272 and NGC6205, and indicate that these clusters have ages that differ by no more than ± 1 Gyr. These results thus support the notion that age is not the second parameter. We

¹Visiting Astronomer, Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope, which is operated by the National Research Council of Canada, the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, and the University of Hawaii

tentatively conclude that NGC6287 and NGC6341 have ages that differ by no more than ± 2 Gyr. However, the near-infrared spectral energy distributions of stars in NGC6287 appear to differ from those of stars in outer halo clusters, bringing into question the validity of this age estimate.

Key Words: Galaxy: Globular Clusters: Individual: NGC5272, NGC6205, NGC6287, NGC6341 – Infrared: Stars

1. INTRODUCTION

Deep photometric studies of globular clusters have traditionally been restricted to the less crowded outer regions of these objects. While surveys of this nature with electronic detectors have permitted the properties of relatively faint main sequence stars in nearby clusters to be studied in detail, it has been difficult to assemble photometry of statistically significant samples of stars in the more advanced stages of evolution using the same instrumentation. The extent of this problem can be reduced by observing the central regions of clusters, where the high stellar densities simplify efforts to obtain photometry of stars spanning a range of brightnesses and evolutionary phases, including the brightest portions of the red giant branch (RGB). Studies of the central regions of clusters also reduce the effects of foreground star contamination and, especially when conducted at infrared wavelengths, differential reddening, both of which frustrate efforts to probe the nature of low latitude clusters – objects that will provide important clues into the early evolution of the inner Galaxy. The cost of observing stars in these high-density environments is increased crowding, and angular resolutions approaching the diffraction limits of moderately large telescopes are required to survey all but the brightest stars.

In the current paper, high angular resolution near-infrared images obtained with the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) Adaptive Optics Bonnette (AOB) are used to probe the central stellar contents in two metal-poor cluster pairs: NGC5272 (M3) + NGC6205 (M13) and NGC6287 + NGC6341 (M92). The clusters in each pair were selected to have similar metallicities and distances to facilitate differential photometric comparisons. The basic properties of the target clusters, including $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}]$, $E(B - V)$, distance from the Galactic Center, R_{GC} , the V brightness of the horizontal branch (HB) corrected for reddening, V_0^{HB} , the central surface brightness in V corrected for reddening, μ_0^V , and the integrated cluster brightness, M_V , are summarized in Table 1. The data in this Table were taken from the May 15, 1997 version of the Harris (1996) database; the reddening corrections assume that $A_V/E(B - V) = 3.1$.

The clusters NGC5272 and NGC6205, which are a classic second-parameter pair, have been the subject of numerous photometric and spectroscopic investigations at visible wavelengths. However, only a modest body of infrared photometric data has previously been obtained for these clusters. Cohen, Frogel, & Persson (1978) recorded *JHK* aperture measurements of selected bright giants in the outer regions of both clusters, while Davidge & Harris (1995) used moderately deep *J* and *K* images to investigate the stellar content of giants and main sequence stars in NGC6205.

NGC6287 and NGC6341 are among the most metal-poor clusters in the Galaxy. NGC6341 has been well-studied at visible wavelengths and is a standard benchmark for constraining the age of the Universe (e.g. Salaris, Degl’Innocenti, & Weiss 1997, Bolte & Hogan 1995). Cohen *et al.* (1978) also obtained near-infrared aperture measurements of bright stars in the outer regions of this cluster. NGC6287 is located in the inner regions of the Galaxy. The close proximity to the Galactic Center, size, and metallicity of NGC6287 lead van den Bergh (1993) to suggest that this cluster may be one of the oldest objects in the Galaxy. Efforts to interpret the visible (Stetson & West 1994) and near-infrared (Davidge 1998) CMDs of the outer regions of NGC6287 are complicated by differential reddening and field star contamination. Davidge (1998) derived an age of 12 Gyr for NGC6287 based on the *K* LF of sub-giant branch (SGB) stars and comparisons with the Bergbusch & Vandenberg (1992) models.

The data presented in this paper will be used to investigate the photometric characteristics of the cluster stars and assess relative ages within each cluster pair. The data were recorded with the same instrumental configuration over a period of only two nights and include the main sequence turn-off (MSTO); hence, these data are well suited to the task of relative age determination. The observations and reductions are described in §2, while the photometric measurements, LFs and CMDs are discussed in §3. This information is used to investigate the relative ages of each pair of clusters in §4. A summary and discussion of the results follows in §5.

2. OBSERVATIONS AND REDUCTIONS

The data were recorded on the nights of UT March 8/9 (NGC5272, NGC6205, and NGC6287) and March 9/10 (NGC6341) 1998 with the CFHT AOB (Rigaut *et al.* 1998) and KIR camera. The latter contains a 1024×1024 Hg:Cd:Te array with an angular scale of 0.034 arcsec per pixel, so that the total imaged field is 35×35 arcsec. The CFHT AOB uses natural guide stars as reference beacons for atmospheric compensation. These were selected at the telescope, and centered on the detector to reduce anisoplanaticism.

Data were recorded through J , H , and K filters, with a complete observing sequence consisting of 4 exposures per filter. The exception was NGC5272, for which 8 exposures per filter were recorded. The telescope pointing was offset following each exposure to define a 0.5×0.5 arcsec square dither pattern. Additional details of the observations, including right ascension (α) and declination (δ), total exposure times, and the FWHM of the central peak in the point spread function (PSF), averaged over the entire field, are summarized in Table 2.

With the exception of NGC6205, which was observed during a brief episode of bad seeing, the FWHM entries for H and K are close to the telescope diffraction limit. However, at moderate Strehl ratios the FWHM provides an incomplete picture of image quality, as much of the energy in the PSF is contained outside of the central peak (e.g. Davidge *et al.* 1997ab); indeed, the AO-compensated PSF was traced out to a radius of at least 1.5 arcsec in observations of moderately bright stars recorded on the same nights as the cluster data. The diameter of an aperture containing 50% of the PSF energy provides a means of quantifying the light distribution, and the 50% encircled energy diameters for the NGC6287 data, which should also be representative of the NGC5272 and NGC6341 observations, are 0.42 (J), 0.25 (H), and 0.25 arcsec (K).

The raw images contain signatures introduced by the telescope, instrumentation, and sky that must be removed before making photometric measurements. Some of these signatures are multiplicative in nature (throughput variations introduced by the optics and pixel-to-pixel differences in detector sensitivity), while others are additive (detector bias and dark current, thermal emission from objects along the optical path, and sky emission). Calibration frames monitoring these signatures were either recorded (darks, flats) or derived (thermal emission) from the observations. Dark frames for each integration time were recorded mid-way through the run, while dome flats were constructed by subtracting exposures of the dome white spot taken with the flat-field lamps ‘off’ from those recorded with the lamps ‘on’. This differencing procedure removes the additive contributions from detector dark current and thermal emission, while preserving the multiplicative flat-field and detector sensitivity variations. Images showing the thermal emission pattern for each filter were constructed by median-combining flat-fielded images of blank sky regions, which were recorded at various times throughout the run.

The data were reduced using the following sequence: (1) dark subtraction, (2) division by dome flats, (3) subtraction of the DC sky level, which was estimated by taking the mode of the pixel intensity distribution in each flat-fielded frame, and (4) subtraction of the thermal signature. The results for each field were registered to correct for the offsets introduced while dithering, and then median-combined. The final K images are shown in

Figures 1 – 4.

3. PHOTOMETRIC MEASUREMENTS

The photometric measurements were made using the PSF-fitting routine ALLSTAR (Stetson & Harris 1988), which is part of the DAOPHOT (Stetson 1987) photometry package. Anisoplanaticism causes the PSF to vary with distance from the AO reference star, and the extent of these variations in the NGC6287 field is illustrated in Figure 5, where the J and K PSFs in two different annuli centered on the reference star are compared. The effects of anisoplanaticism are greatest in J , where the FWHM changes from 0.18 arcsec to 0.28 arcsec between the inner and outer annuli. For comparison, the FWHM changes from 0.12 and 0.14 arcsec in K .

PSF variations complicate efforts to measure accurate stellar brightnesses. To quantify the affects of PSF variations in the current data, stellar brightnesses were measured from the NGC6287 J and K images using PSFs constructed from stars (1) distributed over the entire field, (2) when r_0 , the distance between a star and the reference source, is ≤ 8.5 arcsec, and (3) when $r_0 \geq 8.5$ arcsec.

The brightnesses of stars with $r_0 \geq 8.5$ arcsec measured with the first and third set of PSFs are very similar, indicating that the use of a constant PSF does not significantly affect photometric measurements at moderately large distances from the reference star. The mean brightness differences among moderately bright stars when using these PSFs are $\overline{\Delta_{13}} = 0.01 \pm 0.03$ mag (J), and 0.02 ± 0.03 mag (K), where the quoted errors are dominated by uncertainties in the aperture corrections. The standard deviations about the $\overline{\Delta_{13}}$ values provide additional insight into the affects of PSF variations, and these are $\sigma_{13} = 0.003$ mag (J) and 0.001 mag (K). This comparison indicates that the use of a PSF constructed from stars over the entire field affects brightness measurements by ~ 0.01 mag when $r_0 \geq 8.5$ arcsec. Roughly 80% of the stars in our sample are located in this region.

PSF variations are of greater concern close to the reference source. Indeed, the mean brightness differences between measurements made with the first and second set of PSFs for stars with $r_0 \leq 8.5$ arcsec are $\overline{\Delta_{12}} = -0.07 \pm 0.14$ (J) and -0.10 ± 0.06 (K). The errors reflect the large uncertainties in the aperture corrections for the measurements made using the second set of PSFs, as the number of PSF stars is small when $r_0 \leq 8.5$ arcsec, and there is significant crowding, as the reference source is located close to the cluster center. The standard deviation about the $\overline{\Delta_{12}}$ values are $\sigma_{12} = 0.03$ mag (J) and 0.01 mag (K). Hence, PSF variations affect brightness measurements near the reference source by a few

hundredths of a magnitude. We emphasize that only 20% of the stellar sample is located within 8.5 arcsec of the reference source.

The PSF variations introduced by anisoplanaticism depend on a number of environmental (e.g. wind speed and direction, altitude distribution of turbulence layers, location and brightness of the reference source etc) and instrumental (e.g. the alignment of optical components and the detector, order of compensation provided by the AO system etc) factors and, with the limited environmental information currently available at most telescopes, can only be modelled empirically. While DAOPHOT has the capability of constructing a variable PSF, a large number of bright, preferably isolated, stars are required, and efforts to construct a variable PSF that produced better photometric measurements than a single PSF, constructed from stars over the entire field for each cluster+filter combination, were confounded by crowding. Given these difficulties, and also considering that the experiments described above indicate that the majority of stars are not affected by the use of a constant PSF, we elected to use a single PSF to obtain photometric measurements for subsequent analysis.

The photometric calibration was defined using UKIRT faint standard stars (Casali & Hawarden 1992), which were observed at various times throughout the two night run. The standard star brightnesses were corrected for atmospheric extinction using the coefficients derived by Guarnieri, Dixon, & Longmore (1991), and the results were then used to derive photometric zeropoints and first order color terms. The uncertainties in the photometric zeropoints inferred from the scatter in the seven standard star measurements are: $\pm 0.010(J)$, $\pm 0.005(H)$, and ± 0.009 mag (K).

The brightnesses at which sample incompleteness becomes significant can be estimated from the turn-over points at the faint end of the LFs. The K LFs of these clusters are plotted in Figure 6, where $n_{0.5}$ is the number of stars per 0.5 mag interval per square arcsec. It is evident that the data are complete to $K = 18 - 19$ mag, which is comparable to, or slightly fainter than, the MSTO in each cluster.

The $(H, J - H)$, $(K, H - K)$, and $(K, J - K)$ CMDs are plotted in Figures 7, 8, and 9. The RGB, SGB, MSTO, and HB sequences are clearly evident in the $(H, J - H)$ and $(K, J - K)$ CMDs of all four clusters; an asymptotic giant branch (AGB) component is also apparent in the NGC5272, NGC6205, and NGC6287 CMDs. The NGC5272 CMDs involving the J filter show the largest photometric scatter, as these data have unusually large PSF variations. However, the scatter in the other CMDs is modest, and this is demonstrated in Figure 10, where the $H - K$ color distributions of stars with K between 12 and 14.5, an interval over which the $(K, H - K)$ CMD is practically vertical and contamination from the HB is small, are shown. The standard deviations of these distributions range

from a low of ± 0.023 mag for NGC6205 to a high of ± 0.046 mag for NGC5272. If the random uncertainties in the H and K measurements are assumed to be equal, then these comparisons suggest that the internal photometric errors in the H and K measurements of moderately bright stars are ± 0.016 mag for NGC6205 and ± 0.033 mag for NGC5272. Two caveats that should be considered when assessing these results are that (1) the presence of AGB stars will broaden the distributions slightly, and (2) the PSF-variation experiments described earlier indicate that the widths of the color distributions are lower limits that apply mainly to stars with $r_0 \geq 8.5$ arcsec.

Comparisons with published infrared photometric measurements verify the color calibration of the AOB data. The open squares in Figures 7, 8, and 9 are the single element detector measurements published by Cohen *et al.* (1978) for bright giants in NGC5272, NGC6205, and NGC6341 and the array detector measurements published by Davidge (1998) of bright stars near the center of NGC6287. Crowding and, to a lesser extent, differential reddening cause the scatter in the Davidge (1998) measurements to be larger than in the Cohen *et al.* measurements, which are restricted to stars in the outer regions of the clusters. It is evident that the published data are in reasonable agreement with the AOB observations.

The brightnesses and colors of key features on the CMDs that provide age and distance information at infrared wavelengths have been measured, and the results are listed in Table 3. The brightness of the HB is the standard means of judging cluster distances at visible wavelengths. However, the HB is not considered here since (1) it forms an almost vertical sequence on infrared CMDs, and (2) while relations between RR Lyrae infrared brightness and period have been defined (e.g. Carney, Storm, & Jones 1992; Longmore *et al.* 1990), NGC6287 has not yet been extensively surveyed for variable stars. Therefore, the brightness of the RGB-tip is adopted to gauge relative distances. Stochastic effects may compromise RGB-tip brightness measurements since evolution on the upper giant branch proceeds at a rapid pace. However, numerical simulations indicate that only a modest survey of bright stellar content is required to determine the RGB-tip brightness to an accuracy of ± 0.1 mag in a typical globular cluster (Crocker & Rood 1984).

Stars near the RGB-tip are saturated in the AOB data. Therefore, the J , H and K brightnesses of the RGB-tip for NGC5272, NGC6205, and NGC6341 were estimated from the brightest stars in the Cohen *et al.* (1978) sample. These same Cohen *et al.* (1978) measurements were considered by Frogel *et al.* (1983) in their study of the bolometric brightness of the RGB-tip in a much larger sample of globular clusters, and the RGB-tip brightnesses derived for NGC5272, NGC6205, and NGC6341 fell within the ± 0.1 mag scatter envelop defined by the larger cluster sample, lending confidence to the RGB-tip

brightness estimates for these three clusters. Davidge (1998) measured the K brightness of the RGB-tip for NGC6287, and RGB-tip brightnesses in J and H were obtained from the data listed in Table 3 of that paper. The RGB-tip brightnesses listed in Table 3 of the current paper have an estimated uncertainty of ± 0.1 mag.

RGB-tip brightnesses can be used to estimate absolute distances, although the calibration is very uncertain. The Bergbusch & Vandenberg (1992) isochrones, when transformed onto the near-infrared observational plane using the color relations given by Davidge & Harris (1995a), predict that $M_K = -5.9$ for metal-poor clusters, so that the predicted distance moduli for the clusters are 15.1 (NGC5272), 14.3 (NGC6205), 14.6 (NGC6287), and 14.8 (NGC6341). For comparison, the Bertelli *et al.* (1994) models predict an RGB-tip brightness that is 0.2 mag fainter in K . The resulting distance moduli are reasonably consistent with those predicted by the Carney *et al.* (1992) RR Lyrae calibration, which gives distance moduli of 14.9 (NGC5272), 14.1 (NGC6205), and 14.4 (NGC6341) with the cluster properties given in Table 1.

While the brightness of the MSTO is a prime age indicator, it can not be measured accurately from these data as the CMDs are almost vertical at this point. However, the brightness of the SGB provides age information that is comparable to that of the MSTO brightness (Chaboyer *et al.* 1996). For this study the SGB brightness 0.1 mag redward of the MSTO was measured from the ridgeline of each CMD, and the estimated uncertainty in these measurements is ± 0.1 mag. The $J - H$ and $J - K$ colors of the MSTO are very well-defined, and the estimated uncertainties in the observed MSTO colors are ± 0.03 mag,

The de-reddened MSTO colors for each cluster, derived using the Rieke & Lebofsky (1985) reddening law, are listed in Table 4. The MSTO colors for NGC6341 are the smallest of the group, as expected given that this is the most metal-poor cluster. However, the de-reddened MSTO colors of NGC6287 are abnormally large, especially in $J - K$. It is worth noting that the uncertainty in $E(B - V)$ for NGC6287 is relatively large, amounting to 0.1 – 0.2 mag. $E(B - V)$ estimates for this cluster derived from data at visible wavelengths favour a value near 0.6 (Zinn 1980, Reed *et al.* 1988, Stetson & West 1994), while far-infrared properties of interstellar dust (Schlegel, Finkbeiner, & Davis 1998) and the near-infrared colors of giant branch stars (Davidge 1998) favour 0.8. Nevertheless, even if $E(B - V) = 0.83$ (Davidge 1998), then $(J - K)_0^{MSTO} = 0.34$, which is still significantly larger than the other clusters, although $(J - H)_0^{MSTO} = 0.19$ in this case.

The MSTO colors of NGC6287 ostensibly suggest that either (1) the near-infrared reddening law towards this cluster differs significantly from that given by Rieke & Lebofsky (1985), or (2) the spectral-energy distribution (SED) of stars in this cluster is somehow peculiar. The $(J - H, H - K)$ two-color diagram (TCD) provides additional insight into

these possibilities, and the TCD for each cluster is shown in Figure 11. In an effort to reduce observational scatter, normal points were created by computing the mode of the color distribution in ± 0.25 mag (RGB) or ± 0.1 mag (SGB, MSTO) bins along the K axis of the CMDs in Figures 8 and 9, and it is the resulting $J - H$ and $H - K$ pairs for each K interval which are plotted in Figure 11. Also shown in this figure are the loci of metal-poor giant branch and main sequence stars listed in Tables 4 and 5 of Davidge & Harris (1995a). Only the AOB data were used to compute normal points, so the most evolved giant branch stages are absent in Figure 11.

The NGC5272, NGC6205, and NGC6341 measurements fall close to the fiducial sequences in Figure 11; however, the NGC6287 points, while having $(J - H)_0$ colors similar to those of the other clusters, have relatively red $(H - K)_0$ colors. Figure 7 of Davidge (1998) shows a similar tendency for NGC6287 stars, although by an amount that is smaller than what is seen here. Figure 11 suggests either that the $2\mu\text{m}$ SEDs of stars in NGC6287 may differ from those in other clusters, or that the reddening law towards NGC6287 is peculiar. Near-infrared spectra would provide a direct means of investigating the SEDs of stars in NGC6287 and provide further insight into the placement of NGC6287 data points on the TCD.

4. ANALYSIS

The HB forms an almost vertical sequence in near-infrared CMDs, and so the infrared LF of HB stars provides a means of comparing HB content. The K HB LFs, normalised according to the total number of detected HB stars per cluster, are compared in Figure 12, where ΔK refers to the difference in brightness between each point on the HB and the RGB-tip. It is evident that these clusters define a range of HB contents: the NGC6205 and NGC6341 HBs are dominated by hot stars that are relatively faint in the infrared, while the HB stars in NGC5272 and NGC6287 are distributed over a broader range of near-infrared brightnesses, and hence temperatures. A Kolmogoroff-Smirnoff test indicates that the HB LFs of NGC5272 and NGC6205 differ at the 99% confidence level, while the HB LFs of NGC6287 and NGC6341 differ at the 98% confidence level. It is evident that significant information concerning HB content can be obtained from near-infrared observations, which has important implications for studies of heavily obscured metal-poor clusters.

If age is the dominant parameter defining HB content in these clusters then NGC6205 should be older than NGC5272, while NGC6341 should be older than NGC6287. Is there evidence to support this picture? VandenBerg & Durrell (1990) used the brightness difference between the MSTO and RGB-tip to investigate the relative ages of clusters in

a manner that does not require knowledge of cluster distance or reddening. A similar statistic, which we refer to as Δ_{SGB}^{RGBT} , that relies on the brightness of the SGB rather than the MSTO, can be constructed from the measurements in Table 3, and the results are listed in Table 4. The estimated uncertainties in Δ_{SGB}^{RGBT} are ± 0.14 mag, and the entries for NGC5272, NGC6287 and NGC6341 agree within these limits. While the Δ_{SGB}^{RGBT} values for NGC6205 are systematically larger than those of the other clusters, the significance of this result is not high; indeed, the Δ_{SGB}^{RGBT} values for NGC5272 and NGC6205 in J and H differ at only slightly more than the 2σ level.

The ± 0.14 mag uncertainties in Δ_{SGB}^{RGBT} correspond to a ± 4 Gyr age sensitivity. Hence, we will not consider these results further when assessing the relative ages of the clusters, and note that extremely high-quality data will be required to obtain interesting age constraints from Δ_{SGB}^{RGBT} measurements. Nevertheless, the general agreement between the Δ_{SGB}^{RGBT} measurements for the various clusters lends confidence to the RGB-tip and SGB brightnesses.

Another way to estimate relative cluster ages independent of reddening and distance is to register the CMDs using reference markers near the main sequence and then compare giant branch locations (e.g. VandenBerg, Bolte, & Stetson 1990, Sarajedini & Demarque 1990). For the current study the $(H, J - H)$ and $(K, J - K)$ CMDs for each cluster pair, defined using normal points computed by taking the mode of the color distribution within ± 0.25 mag (RGB) and ± 0.1 mag (SGB, MSTO) intervals along the brightness axes of Figures 7 and 9, were aligned along the horizontal and vertical axes using the MSTO colors and SGB brightnesses listed in Table 3, and the results are shown in Figures 13 and 14.

The lower giant branches of NGC5272 and NGC6205 overlap when the $(H, J - H)$ and $(K, J - K)$ CMDs are registered at the MSTO and SGB. While there are differences below the MSTO, these are likely not significant as they occur near the faint limit of the data. The upper giant branches of NGC5272 and NGC6205, defined using the Cohen *et al.* (1978) data, are of greater interest, as they diverge on both CMDs. There is no hint of this behaviour when only the AOB data are compared, and we suspect that the disagreement is spurious, arising from minor differences in the photometric calibration of the two NGC5272 datasets. Indeed, if the Cohen *et al.* (1978) NGC5272 measurements in Figure 14 are shifted by ~ 0.04 mag towards smaller $\Delta(J - K)$ values then they give a better match to the AOB data for this cluster as well as to the NGC6205 upper giant branch.

The lower giant branches of NGC6287 and NGC6341 also overlap when the $(H, J - H)$ CMDs of these clusters are registered at the MSTO and SGB. However, the cluster sequences show significant differences at the bright end, in that NGC6287 has a steeper upper giant branch than NGC6341; Davidge (1998) found that the upper giant branch of

NGC6287 is steeper than expected from theoretical models. Further differences between the CMDs of NGC6287 and NGC6341 are apparent in the right hand panel of Figure 14, where the giant branch of NGC6287 falls consistently to the left of the NGC6341 sequence.

NGC6287 is not the only inner spheroid cluster to show peculiar giant branch properties. Figure 4 of Minniti, Olszewski, & Rieke (1995) compares the $(K, J - K)$ giant branch loci of a number of inner spheroid clusters, and there is little or no evidence for correlations between mean metallicity, giant branch color, and/or slope. Moreover, the $(K, J - K)$ CMDs of the inner spheroid clusters M22 (Davidge & Harris 1995b) and M28 (Davidge *et al.* 1996) show peculiar giant branch morphologies when compared with outer halo clusters.

The error bars in the lower right hand corner of each panel in Figures 13 and 14 show the range in relative RGB positions expected for ± 2 Gyr age differences, as predicted from the $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -2$ Bergbusch & Vandenberg (1992) isochrones, which we transformed onto the near-infrared observational plane using the procedure described by Davidge & Harris (1995a). The lower giant branches of NGC5272 and NGC6205 in Figures 13 suggest that the ages of these clusters differ by no more than ± 1 Gyr. However, while the lower giant branches of NGC6287 and NGC6341 in Figure 13 are suggestive of a difference in age not exceeding ± 2 Gyr, the comparison in the right hand panel of Figure 14 is suggestive of an age difference approaching 4 Gyr, in the sense that NGC6287 is older.

The LFs of globular clusters are sensitive to age variations at the brightness of the SGB (e.g. Bergbusch & Vandenberg 1992; Jimenez & Padoan 1996), and hence provide an independent means of checking relative ages deduced from CMDs. While dynamical effects will alter the mass function, and thereby complicate cluster-to-cluster comparisons, the LF only becomes sensitive to these effects below the main sequence.

The J , H , and K LFs of each cluster pair, computed with 0.2 mag bins and excluding HB and AGB stars identified from the CMDs, are compared in Figures 15, 16, and 17. The LFs have been normalized along the vertical axis over a 3 mag interval on the upper giant branch, while the horizontal axis shows brightness measured with respect to the RGB-tip. The J LFs are not suitable for age comparisons, as incompleteness becomes significant on the SGB. However, the H and K LFs extend 0.5 – 1.0 mag fainter than the MSTO, and it is evident that the LFs of each cluster pair are not significantly different on the SGB. The effect of a ± 2 Gyr age variation near the faint end of the SGB, derived from the Bergbusch & Vandenberg (1992) models, is shown in each Figure, and the expected deviation is comparable to the uncertainties in each bin. Hence, the H and K LFs indicate that NGC5272 and NGC6205 are coeval, as are NGC6287 and NGC6341, to within ± 2 Gyr.

5. SUMMARY & DISCUSSION

JHK images with angular resolutions approaching the diffraction limit of the 3.6 metre CFHT have been obtained of the central regions of four metal-poor globular clusters: NGC5272, NGC6205, NGC6287, and NGC6341. The clusters were paired according to metallicity and distance to facilitate differential comparisons of cluster properties, and photometric measurements were made using the PSF-fitting routine in ALLSTAR. The crowded nature of the cluster fields necessitated the use of a single PSF for each cluster+filter combination, and it is expected that PSF variations will introduce systematic errors of roughly 0.01 mag in the brightnesses of stars more than 8.5 arcsec from the reference star, which account for roughly 80% of stars in the images. These errors may climb to a few hundredths of a mag for stars within 8.5 arcsec of the reference star. Comparisons with published observations indicate that the photometric calibration is valid to within a few hundredths of a magnitude.

The HB contents of each cluster pair were investigated using the *K* LF of HB stars. The ‘classical’ second-parameter pair NGC5272 and NGC6205 provides an important test case to determine if differences between HB contents can be detected in the infrared, and the *K* HB LFs of these clusters differ in a way that is consistent with what is seen at optical wavelengths. The temperature distribution of HB stars in NGC6287 and NGC6341 are also found to be significantly different.

Relative cluster ages have been determined using two independent methods: (1) the color difference between the MSTO and the giant branch, measured from near-infrared CMDs, and (2) the near-infrared LFs of SGB stars. A third possible age indicator, the brightness difference between the RGB-tip and a point on the SGB, was too insensitive to age to be of interest with the current data.

The near-infrared CMDs of NGC5272 and NGC6205, when registered near the MSTO and SGB, indicate that the ages of these clusters agree to within ± 1 Gyr, while the SGB LFs are suggestive of ages that agree to within ± 2 Gyr. These data thus do not support the notion that age is the second parameter (e.g. Salaris & Weiss 1997, Stetson, VandenBerg, & Bolte 1996, but see also Chaboyer *et al.* 1996 and Lee, Demarque, & Zinn 1994). Grundahl (1998) has obtained high-precision ground-based observations of NGC5272 and NGC6205 in the Stromgren filter system, and his data are also consistent with little or no difference in age.

The problems arising from field star contamination and differential reddening that frustrate efforts to study the outer regions of NGC6287 (e.g. Davidge 1998, Stetson & West 1994) are largely avoided when the high-density central area of the cluster is studied,

and the current data provide insight into the relative ages of NGC6287 and NGC6341. The $(H, J - H)$ CMDs and near-infrared LF's suggest that the ages of these clusters agree to within ± 2 Gyr, and thus do not support the hypothesis that the inner regions of the Galaxy formed significantly before the outer halo, contrary to what might be inferred from the properties of RR Lyraes in Baade's Window (Lee 1992a). On the other hand, the $(K, J - K)$ CMDs of NGC6287 and NGC6341 ostensibly suggest that the former is 4 Gyr older than the latter. A similar difference in age was found when the $(K, J - K)$ CMD of the $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = -1.4$ globular cluster M28 ($R_{GC} \sim 2.5$ kpc) was compared with CMDs of clusters having $R_{GC} \geq 3$ kpc (Davidge, Côté, & Harris 1996; Davidge & Harris 1997). NGC6287 is thus the second inner spheroid cluster to have an extremely old age inferred from the $(K, J - K)$ CMD. However, the significance of this last result is not clear, as the location of NGC6287 stars on the near-infrared TCD suggest that the $2\mu\text{m}$ photometric properties of metal-poor stars in this cluster differ from those of outer halo objects. This brings into question the validity of $(K, J - K)$ CMD comparisons between inner and outer halo clusters.

The relative ages of NGC6287 and NGC6341 notwithstanding, NGC6287 appears to be a unique object. With a plunging orbit (van den Bergh 1993), and a broadly populated HB (§4), NGC6287 has the characteristics of van den Bergh's (1993) α population of globular clusters, the other members of which have $R_{GC} \geq 8$ kpc. The discovery of HB stars spanning a range of temperatures in NGC6287 also challenges Lee's (1992b) finding that the HB content of inner spheroid globular clusters can be characterised by a single parameter – metallicity; Rich et al. (1997) reach a similar conclusion for metal-rich globular clusters. Deep photometric surveys of other metal-poor clusters in the inner Galaxy will reveal if the HB properties of NGC6287 are truly unique, or representative of a still largely unstudied population of inner spheroid clusters.

If NGC6287 is a bona fide member of the inner spheroid then it is a remnant of what was once a much more populous cluster system, the members of which, especially those that had low masses, low concentrations, and circular orbits, were disrupted by dynamical interactions (e.g. Murali & Weinberg 1997, Vesperini 1997 and references therein). If this is the case then the initial properties of NGC6287 were undoubtedly very different from what are observed now. For example, selective pruning of low mass stars has likely occurred, and the current mass may be more than 30% lower than the initial value (Murali & Weinberg 1997). Studies of the main sequence mass function, especially near the center of NGC6287, where the signatures of mass segregation are conspicuous (e.g. Weinberg 1994, Pryor, Smith, & McClure 1986), will provide clues into the dynamical history of this cluster.

The discovery of the Sgr dwarf spheroidal (Ibata, Gilmore, & Irwin 1994), which has

an entourage of four globular clusters (Ibata *et al.* 1994; Da Costa & Armandroff 1995), suggests that accretion events may play a significant role in the evolution of galaxies, and that the present-day sample of globular clusters in the inner Galaxy may contain interlopers that formed in external systems. In fact, the radius and metallicity of NGC6287 are not inconsistent with a dwarf spheroidal origin. Indeed, van den Bergh (1994) finds that the globular clusters in the Fornax dwarf spheroidal have radii comparable to those of globular clusters in the inner halo, so the compact size of NGC6287 is not an unambiguous indicator of an inner spheroid origin. Moreover, the metallicities of globular clusters in the dwarf satellite companions of the Galaxy (Da Costa & Armandroff 1995) and M31 (Da Costa & Mould 1988) are typically (but, as demonstrated by Terzan 7, not exclusively) very metal-poor, like NGC6287. Therefore, while the observational characteristics of NGC6287 are ostensibly consistent with membership in the inner spheroid (van den Bergh 1993), the evidence is not ironclad.

Sincere thanks are extended to Sidney van den Bergh and Frank Grundahl for providing comments on an earlier draft of this paper. An anonymous referee also made comments that greatly improved the paper.

NGC	[Fe/H]	$E(B - V)$	V_0^{HB}	R_{GC} (kpc)	μ_0^V mag/arcsec ²	M_V
5272	–1.57	0.01	15.62	11.9	16.31	–8.85
6205	–1.54	0.02	14.84	8.3	16.74	–8.50
6287	–2.05	0.59	15.17	1.6	16.50	–7.11
6341	–2.29	0.02	15.04	9.5	15.52	–8.15

Table 1: Cluster Properties

NGC	α E2000	δ E2000	Filter	Exposure Time (sec)	FWHM (arcsec)
5272	13:42:11.2	+28:32:22	J	8×30	0.31
			H	8×30	0.14
			K	8×30	0.14
6205	16:41:39.9	+36:27:36	J	4×90	0.29
			H	4×90	0.26
			K	4×90	0.22
6287	17:05:09.3	−22:42:27	J	4×60	0.24
			H	4×60	0.15
			K	4×60	0.17
6341	17:15:34.4	+43:11:01	J	4×30	0.22
			H	4×20	0.14
			K	4×15	0.15

Table 2: Summary of Observations

NGC	$(J - H)_{MSTO}$	$(J - K)_{MSTO}$	J_{SGB}	H_{SGB}	K_{SGB}	J_{RGBT}	H_{RGBT}	K_{RGBT}
5272	0.239	0.234	16.56	16.22	16.63	10.08	9.29	9.16
6205	0.225	0.290	16.28	16.06	16.17	9.32	8.60	8.49
6287	0.461	0.774	16.62	16.33	15.98	10.18	9.25	9.00
6341	0.210	0.230	16.10	15.86	16.09	9.69	9.05	8.93

Table 3: The colors and brightnesses of key features on the CMDs

Note. — The errors in the MSTO colors are ± 0.03 mag. The estimated uncertainty in the SGB and RGB-tip brightnesses is ± 0.1 mag.

NGC	$(J - H)_0^{MSTO}$	$(J - K)_0^{MSTO}$	ΔJ_{SGB}^{RGBT}	ΔH_{SGB}^{RGBT}	ΔK_{SGB}^{RGBT}
5272	0.24	0.23	−6.48	−6.93	−7.47
6205	0.22	0.28	−6.96	−7.38	−7.68
6287	0.27	0.47	−6.43	−7.08	−6.98
6341	0.20	0.22	−6.41	−6.81	−7.16

Table 4: MSTO colors and brightness differences between the RGB-tip and SGB.

Note. — The errors in the de-reddened MSTO colors are ± 0.03 mag, with the exception of NGC6287, for which the reddening is highly uncertain. The estimated uncertainty in individual Δ_{SGB}^{RGBT} measurements is ± 0.14 mag.

REFERENCES

- Bergbusch, P. A., & Vandenberg, D. A. 1992, *ApJS*, 81, 163
- Bertelli, G., Bressan, A., Chiosi, C., Fagotto, F., & Nasi, E. 1992, *A&AS*, 106, 275
- Bolte, M., & Hogan, C. J. 1995, *Nature*, 376, 399
- Carney, B. W., Storm, J., & Jones, R. V. 1992, *ApJ*, 386, 663
- Casali, M., & Hawarden, T. 1992, *JCMT-UKIRT Newsletter*, 4, 33
- Chaboyer, B. et al. 1996, *MNRAS*, 283, 685
- Chaboyer, B., Demarque, P., & Sarajedini, A. 1996, *ApJ*, 459, 558
- Cohen, J. G., Frogel, J. A., & Persson, S. E. 1978, *ApJ*, 222, 165
- Crocker, D. A., & Rood, R. T. 1984, in *Observational Tests of the Stellar Evolution Theory*, IAU Symposium No. 105, edited by A. Maeder & A. Renzini (Reidel: Dordrecht), p.159
- Da Costa, G. S., & Armandroff, T. E. 1995, *AJ*, 109, 2533
- Da Costa, G. S., & Mould, J. R. 1988, *ApJ*, 334, 159
- Davidge, T. J. 1998, *AJ*, 116, 1744
- Davidge, T. J., & Harris, W. E. 1995a, *ApJ*, 445, 211
- Davidge, T. J., & Harris, W. E. 1995b, *ApJ*, 462, 255
- Davidge, T. J., & Harris, W. E. 1997, *ApJ*, 475, 584
- Davidge, T. J., Côté, P., & Harris, W. E. 1996, *ApJ*, 468, 641
- Davidge, T. J., et al. 1997a, *AJ*, 113, 2094
- Davidge, T. J., et al. 1997b, *AJ*, 114, 2586
- Frogel, J. A., Cohen, J. G., & Persson, S. E. 1983, *ApJ*, 275, 773
- Grundahl, F. 1998, private communication
- Guarnieri, M. D., Dixon, R. I., & Longmore, A. J. 1991, *PASP*, 103, 675
- Harris, W. E. 1996, *AJ*, 112, 1487
- Ibata, R. A., Gilmore, G., & Irwin, M. J. 1994, *Nature*, 370, 194
- Jimenez, R., & Padoan, P. 1996, *ApJ*, 463, L17
- Lee, Y-W 1992a, *AJ*, 104, 1780
- Lee, Y-W 1992b, *PASP*, 104, 798

- Lee, Y-W, Demarque, P., & Zinn, R. 1994, *ApJ*, 423, 248
- Longmore, A. J., Dixon, R., Skillen, I., Jameson, R. F., & Fernley, J. A. 1990, *MNRAS*, 247, 684
- Minniti, D., Olszewski, E. W., & Rieke, G. H. 1995, *AJ*, 110, 1686
- Murali, C., & Weinberg, M. D. 1997, *MNRAS*, 288, 749
- Pryor, C., Smith, G. H., & McClure, R. D. 1986, *AJ*, 92, 1358
- Reed, B. C., Hesser, J. E., & Shawl, S. J. 1988, *PASP*, 100, 545
- Rich, R. M. et al. 1997, *ApJ*, 484, L25
- Rieke, G. H., & Lebofsky, M. J. 1985, *ApJ*, 288, 618
- Rigaut, F. et al. 1998, *PASP*, 110, 152
- Salaris, M., & Weiss, A. 1997, *A&A*, 327, 107
- Salaris, M., Degl’Innocenti, S., & Weiss, A. 1997, *ApJ*, 479, 665
- Sarajedini, A., & Demarque, P. 1990, *ApJ*, 365, 219
- Schleger, D. J., Finkbeiner, D. P., & Davis, M. 1998, *ApJ*, 500, 525
- Stetson, P. B. 1987, *PASP*, 99, 191
- Stetson, P. B., & Harris, W. E. 1988, *AJ*, 96, 909
- Stetson, P. B., & West, M. J. 1994, *PASP*, 106, 726
- Stetson, P. B., VandenBerg, D. A., & Bolte 1996, *PASP*, 108, 560
- van den Bergh, S. 1993, *ApJ*, 411, 178
- van den Bergh, S. 1994, *AJ*, 108, 2145
- VandenBerg, D. A., & Durrell, P. R. 1990, *AJ*, 99, 221
- VandenBerg, D. A., Bolte, M., & Stetson, P. B. 1990, *AJ*, 100, 445
- Vesperini, E. 1997, *MNRAS*, 287, 915
- Weinberg, M. D. 1994, *AJ*, 108, 1414
- Zinn, R. 1980, *ApJS*, 42, 19

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1.— The final K image of the NGC5272 field. The area covered is roughly 34×34 arcsec. North is at the top, while East is to the left.

Fig. 2.— Same as Figure 1, but for NGC6205.

Fig. 3.— Same as Figure 1, but for NGC6287.

Fig. 4.— Same as Figure 1, but for NGC6341.

Fig. 5.— J and K PSFs in the NGC6287 field. The solid lines show the PSF within 8.5 arcsec of the AO reference star, while the dashed lines show the PSF between 8.5 and 17 arcsec from this star. The effects of anisoplanaticism are greatest in J

Fig. 6.— The K LFs of the four clusters. $n_{0.5}$ is the number of stars per 0.5 mag interval per square arcsec.

Fig. 7.— The $(H, J - H)$ CMDs of NGC5272, NGC6205, NGC6287, and NGC6341. The open squares show the photometric measurements of bright giants published by Cohen *et al.* (1978) for NGC5272, NGC6205, and NGC6341, and Davidge (1998) for NGC6287. Note the good agreement between the published measurements and the AO-corrected data.

Fig. 8.— The $(K, H - K)$ CMDs of NGC5272, NGC6205, NGC6287, and NGC6341. The open squares show the photometric measurements of bright giants published by Cohen *et al.* (1978) for NGC5272, NGC6205, and NGC6341, and Davidge (1998) for NGC6287. Note the good agreement between the published measurements and the AO-corrected data.

Fig. 9.— The $(K, J - K)$ CMDs of NGC5272, NGC6205, NGC6287, and NGC6341. The open squares show the photometric measurements of bright giants published by Cohen *et al.* (1978) for NGC5272, NGC6205, and NGC6341, and Davidge (1998) for NGC6287. Note the good agreement between the published measurements and the AO-corrected data.

Fig. 10.— The $H - K$ color distributions for stars with K between 12 and 14.5. $\Delta(H-K)$ is the difference between the observed $H-K$ and the peak value in each distribution. n_{norm} is the number of stars per 0.02 mag $\Delta(H-K)$ interval divided by the total number of stars in the distribution. The standard deviation of each distribution, σ_{HK} , is also shown.

Fig. 11.— The $(J - H, H - K)$ two-color diagrams for NGC5272, NGC6205, NGC6287, and NGC6341. The points plotted in this figure are the modes of the color distribution in ± 0.25 mag (RGB) or ± 0.1 mag (SGB, MSTO) wide bins along the K axis of the CMDs in Figures

8 and 9; each point in this figure corresponds to the $J - H$ and $H - K$ normal points for a given K interval. These normal points have been de-reddened using the $E(B-V)$ values in Table 1 according to the Rieke & Lebofsky (1985) reddening law. Also shown are the loci defined by metal-poor dwarfs (solid line) and giants (dashed line), as listed in Tables 4 and 5 of Davidge & Harris (1995a). The open squares show the unreddened NGC6287 normal points.

Fig. 12.— The K HB LFs, based on stars identified from the $(K, J - K)$ CMDs. n is the number of stars per 0.2 mag interval normalised according to the total number of HB stars in each field, which is the number shown in brackets under each cluster name. ΔK is the mag difference between each point on the HB and the RGB-tip.

Fig. 13.— The $(H, J - H)$ CMDs for each cluster pair, registered along the horizontal and vertical axes based on the color of the MSTO and the brightness of a point on the SGB 0.1 mag redward of the MSTO. $\Delta(J-H)$ is the observed color minus the color of the MSTO, while ΔH is the observed brightness minus the brightness of the SGB point. The open squares show the NGC5272 (left hand panel) and NGC6287 (right hand panel) normal points. The open triangles are normal points for NGC6205 (left hand panel) and NGC6341 (right hand panel). The filled symbols are observations of individual bright stars in these clusters from Davidge (1998) and Cohen *et al.* (1978). The range in RGB positions expected for a ± 2 Gyr age difference is shown in the lower right hand corner of each panel.

Fig. 14.— Same as Figure 12, but for the $(K, J - K)$ CMDs.

Fig. 15.— The J LFs of the cluster pairs, excluding HB and AGB stars which were identified from the CMDs. The LFs for NGC5272 (solid line) and NGC6205 (dashed line) are shown in the top panel, while the LFs for NGC6287 (solid line) and NGC6341 (dashed line) are shown in the lower panel. ΔJ is the brightness measured with respect to the RGB-tip, and the LFs have been normalised based on the number of stars detected between $\Delta J = 3$ and 7. The error bars show the uncertainty in each 0.2 mag bin predicted from counting statistics. The brightness interval corresponding to the SGB is indicated in each panel. The variation in LF position near the faint limit of the SGB predicted for a ± 2 Gyr age variation is also shown.

Fig. 16.— Same as Figure 15, but for H . The LFs have been normalised based on the number of stars detected between $\Delta H = 3$ and 7.

Fig. 17.— Same as Figure 15, but for K . The LFs have been normalised based on the number of stars detected between $\Delta K = 3$ and 7.

This figure "davidge.fig1.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9812078v1>

This figure "davidge.fig2.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9812078v1>

This figure "davidge.fig3.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9812078v1>

This figure "davidge.fig4.gif" is available in "gif" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/astro-ph/9812078v1>

























